

An Optimum Method for Waiting Time Distribution: M/D/C

*Vipin Kumar, * Vijendra Singh Rawat **Ashok Kumar

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering,
 Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad

drvipink.engineering@tmu.ac.in, vijendra.engineering@tmu.ac.in

Abstract: A probabilistic investigation determines the ideal and unequivocal articulation for the holding up time conveyance of the M/D/C lining framework. In this paper, the strategy for numerical difficulties isn't required for high traffic intensities. By fulfilling Erlang's fundamental condition for the M/D/C line, the outcomes can be demonstrated unequivocal. Basic recipe for the holding up time conveyance driving by an option probabilistic approach, which is numerically steady for all $\rho < 1$. With the aiding of holding up time appropriation and Erlang's indispensable condition, we demonstrated a few outcomes for M/D/C dispersion at the base of quality of clients, size of the line.

Key words: Arbitrary customers, waiting time distribution, Markov chain, Erlang's integral equation.

M/D/C system: In the beginning of this century it has been already studied. This system is one of the best classical queuing models. In this model we suppose c identical servers, serving each customer during a constant time D . Arrival of customers is according to a Poisson process with rate λ . Now waiting time distribution of the M/D/C queue by Erlang.

$$F(y) = \int_0^{\infty} F(x+y-D) \frac{\lambda^c x^{c-1}}{(c-1)!} e^{-\lambda x} dx, \quad y \geq 0 \quad \& \quad c = 1$$

..... (1)

Where, $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^c x^{c-1}}{(c-1)!} e^{-\lambda x} dx = \text{Probability}$

Two a few clients are in holding up line. The condition (1) is gotten by looking at the

holding up time of the clients A_n and C , who will touch base amongst x and $x+dx$ time. Erlang understood that for $c > 1$. it would scarcely prompt an express scientific arrangement. Crommelin additionally determined a general articulation for the holding up time conveyance

of the M/D/C line for all $c \in \mathbb{N}$. A recursion plot in view of Crommelin's contention is depicted in Tijms to get around the issue round off mistakes. Be that as it may, for expanding c and ρ this recursion plan will at last be hampered by round off blunders.

Strength of customers: Let there are i customers holding at time t & the probability is $P_i(t)$. So, customers present at time $(t + D)$ either arrived during the time interval $(t, t + D]$.

$$i.e. \quad P_i(t+D) = \sum_{j=0}^c P_j(t) \frac{\lambda D}{j!} e^{-\lambda D} + \sum_{j=c+1}^{i+c} P_j(t) \frac{(\lambda D)^{i+c-j}}{(i+c-j)!} e^{-\lambda D}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \dots\dots$$

... (2)

If

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty}$, then

$$P_i = \sum_{j=0}^c P_j \frac{(\lambda D)^j}{j!} e^{-\lambda D} + \sum_{j=c+1}^{i+c} P_j \frac{(\lambda D)^{i+c-j}}{(i+c-j)!} e^{-\lambda D}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

..... (3)

Where P_i = Stationary distribution.

Queue size: Let A be the landing time of n th client after $t = 0$, S_n is the beginning time of the administration of the n th client, W_n is the holding up time of the n th client, $q_i(t)$ is the likelihood of finding a line of length i at time t , $L_q(t)$ is the line length (size of line) at time t , q_i is stationary likelihood and , we will demonstrate the accompanying articulation for the stationary probabilities of having I holding up clients in the line instantly after some discretionary administration begin.

$$W_i = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\{L_q(t) = i\} = q_i, \quad i \in N_0$$

Proof:

Give S_n a chance to be the administration beginning of n th client while i clients left in the line and $q_{i+(S_n)}$ is a likelihood with this administration. Amid benefit interim is the likelihood of j fresh introductions. The server will begin serving the $(n + e)$ th client promptly in the wake of completing the administration of the n th client while So size of the line = $(i + j - c)$

In the event that the client has not yet landed at time so promptly in the wake of completing the administration of the n th client. Consolidating the two cases we infer that Therefore the likelihood of having I holding up clients in the line quickly after the administration beginning of the client.

$$q_o^+(S_{n+c}) = \sum_{j=0}^c q_j^+(S_n) \sum_{m=0}^{c-j} \frac{(\lambda D)^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda D}$$

$$q_i^+(S_{n+c}) = \sum_{j=0}^{i+c} q_j^+(S_n) \frac{(\lambda D)^{i+c-j}}{(i+c-j)!} e^{-\lambda D}$$

.....(4)

If $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the stationary distribution,

$$w_0 = \sum_{j=0}^c w_j \sum_{m=0}^{c-j} \frac{(\lambda D)^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda D}$$

$$w_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i+c} w_j \frac{(\lambda D)^{i+c-j}}{(i+c-j)!} e^{-\lambda D} \quad \text{While } i >$$

0(5)

Hence w_i equals $q_i \quad \forall i \geq 0$

$$w_0 = \sum_{j=0}^c w_j \sum_{m=0}^{c-j} \frac{(\lambda D)^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda D}$$

$$w_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i+c} w_j \frac{(\lambda D)^{i+c-j}}{(i+c-j)!} e^{-\lambda D}$$

The waiting time Distribution: Our first target will be to decide time " W_n " of the n th clients to touch base after $t = 0$. Client is called checked client and server is called stamped server. Watch that stamped client will be the K_{th} client to be served by the checked server from time moment onwards. Give u a chance to be some positive time slip by $< D$. On the off chance that the checked client arrives no sooner than the stamped server has been serving the client for at any rate u time units on the entry moment A_n . Along these lines the checked client will locate the stamped server with a measure of incomplete work $< kD - u$, suggesting . In the second case, if the checked client lands before.

In the event that at $L_q^+(S_{n-kc})$, the line length quickly after S_{n-kc} . The line contains kc or more clients, the checked client has just entered the line and is holding up there in (kc) th position, suggesting $A < S_{n-kc}$. With likelihood

Now stationary time distribution by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P\{W \leq kD - u\} = \sum_{i=0}^{kc-1} q_i \sum_{j=0}^{kc-i-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^j}{j!} e^{-\lambda u} = e^{-\lambda u} \sum_{j=0}^{kc-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^j}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^{kc-j-1} q_i$$

..... (7)

Substituting $kD - u = x$, then the waiting time distribution

$$P\{W \leq x\} = e^{-\lambda(kD-x)} \sum_{j=0}^{kc-1} Q_{kc-j-1} \frac{\lambda^j (kD-x)^j}{j!}$$

..... (8)

While $(k-1)D \leq x < kD$

Erlang's integral Equation: In order to complete the circle, this section presents an explicit proof which will make use the following lemma:

$$Q_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n+c} Q_{n+c-i} \frac{(\lambda D)^i}{i!} e^{-\lambda D} \quad \forall n \in N_0$$

Proof: Let no more than n customers are in queue at time and $Q_n(t)$ is the probability of the queue

$$Q_n(t+D) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+c} Q_{n+c-i} \frac{(\lambda D)^i}{i!} e^{-\lambda D} \quad \forall n \in N_0$$

..... (9)

Where $(t, t+D)$ be an arbitrary time interval of length D, during which i new arrivals will take place with probability

$$\frac{(\lambda D)^i}{i!} e^{-\lambda D}$$

In the Erlang's integral equation it is required that $F(t)=0$ for every $t < 0$. We can get rid of this requirement by reformulating the equation as:

$$F(y) = \int_{\max(0, D-y)}^{\infty} F(x+y-D) \frac{\lambda^c x^{c-1}}{(c-1)!} e^{-\lambda x} dx \quad \forall y \in R^+$$

..... (10)

Now substituting $y = mD - x$, with $m = y/D + 1$,
So,

$$\int_{\max\{0, u-(m-1)D\}}^u H(x, mD-u) dx + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{u+kD}^{u+(k+1)D} H(x, mD-u) dx$$

..... (11)

By carrying the integration and realizing that $\max\{0, u-(m-1)D\} = 0$, Except for $m=1$, then

$$e^{-\lambda u} \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)c-1} Q_{(m-1)c-j-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^j}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!(j+i+1)!(c-1-i)!} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda((k+1)D+u)} \sum_{j=0}^{(k+m)c-1} Q_{(k+m)c-j-1} \frac{\lambda^{j+c}}{j!} \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} \frac{(-1)^i \{(k+1)D+u\}^{c-1-i} D^{j+i}}{i!(j+i+1)!(c-1-i)!}$$

..... (12)

$$\text{Now using } \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} \sum_{n=0}^{c-i-1} A_{i,n} = \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} \sum_{i=0}^{c-n-1} A_{i,n}$$

So the second term of (12) will be

$$e^{-\lambda u} \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^n}{n!} \beta_n$$

.....

..... (13)

Now

$$\sum_{i=0}^{c-n-1} \frac{(-1)^i (k+1)^{c-1-i-n}}{i!(j+i+1)(c-1-i-n)!} = \sum_{i=0}^{c-n-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!(j+i+1)} \sum_{l=0}^{c-n-1-i} \frac{k^l}{l!(c-n-1-i-e)!}$$

$$= j! \sum_{l=0}^{c-n-1} \frac{k^l}{l!(j+c-n-e)!}$$

Now

$$\beta_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda k D} \sum_{l=0}^{c-n-1} \frac{(\lambda k D)^l}{l!} Q_{(k+m)c-n-l-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(k+1)D} \sum_{j=0}^{c-n-1} \frac{[\lambda(k+1)D]^j}{j!} Q_{(k+m+1)c-n-j-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^0 e^{-\lambda k D} \sum_{l=0}^{c-n-1} \frac{(\lambda k D)^l}{l!} Q_{(k+m)c-n-l-1}$$

$$= Q_{mc-n-1}$$

Now reconstruct equation (12) by putting the parts together:

$$= e^{-\lambda u} \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)c-1} Q_{(m-1)c-j-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^{j+c}}{(j+c)!} + e^{-\lambda u} \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^n}{n!} \beta_n$$

$$= e^{-\lambda u} \left\{ \sum_{i=c}^{mc-1} Q_{mc-i-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^i}{i!} + \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} Q_{mc-i-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^n}{n!} \right\}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda u} \sum_{i=0}^{mc-1} Q_{mc-i-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^i}{i!}$$

This implies that the integral equation (10) reduce to

$$F(y) = e^{-\lambda u} \sum_{i=0}^{mc-1} Q_{mc-i-1} \frac{(\lambda u)^i}{i!}$$

References:

[1] Tijms, H.C. (1994), Stochastic Models, An Algorithmic Approach wiley, New York.
[2] Erlang, A.K.(1909, 1920), "the theory of probabilities and telephone conversations" and "telephone waiting times", first published in Nyt Tidsskrift for matematik, B vol. 20, P. 33,

- [3] Prabhu, N.U.(1965) ,Queue and inventories,
wiley, New York